

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

vision Director

June 29, 2016

Brent Andrewsen Tar Sands Holdings II, LLC 60 East South Temple, Suite 1800 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Subject: <u>Initial Review of Response to Division Directive to Consolidate Permits, Tar Sands Holdings</u>

II, LLC, and Uintah County Asphalt Mine and Asphalt Ridge 2 Mine, M/047/0022 and

M/47/0032 Uintah County, Utah

Dear Mr. Andrewsen:

The Division of Oil, Gas and Mining has reviewed the referenced consolidated Notice of Intention to Commence Large Mining Operations (Notice) which was received June 1, 2016. The review is attached.

The Division has the following general comments:

- The submittal should be formatted to easily incorporate additional revisions and amendments.
- The Division may have additional comments based on the review responses.

Please submit your response to this review by August 8, 2016.

Thank you for your efforts to consolidate these two permits. The Division will suspend further review of the Notice of Intention until your response to this letter is received. Please contact April Abate, at 801-538-5214 or me at 801-538-5261 if you have questions concerning the review. Thank you for your cooperation in completing this permitting action.

Sincerely

Paul B. Baker

Minerals Program Manager

PBB: aa: eb Attachment: Review

cc: Denise Dragoo, Snell and Wilmer ddragoo@swlaw.com

P:\GROUPS\MINERALS\WP\M047-Uintah\M0470032-Crown-AsphaltRidge\final\REV1-7389-06272016.docx



Initial Review Page 2 of 2 M/047/0032 June 29, 2016

REVIEW OF AMENDED NOTICE OF INTENTION TO COMMENCE LARGE MINING OPERATIONS

Tar Sands Holdings II, LLC Asphalt Ridge Mine

M/047/0032 June 29, 2016

R647-4-105 - Maps, Drawings & Photographs

General Man Comments

Comment #	Sheet/Page/ Map/Table #	Comments	Initials	Review Action
	Page 4	Figure 8 is being removed from the NOI. The last sentence before section R647-4-106 on page 4 should reference Figure 2.	aa	
- 小老	Figure 6C		aa	1.550

R647-4-113 - Surety

Comment #	Sheet/Page/ Map/Table #	Comments	Initials	Review Action
	Page 26	The Division cannot accept the pro rata method used for the reduction in bond for the nine acres of undisturbed ground being removed from the permit. This would assume a lump-sum cost per acre which includes demolition, earthwork, and revegetation. The nine acres is undisturbed ground, so the costs using pro-rata are too high. Please calculate the nine-acre reduction using the cost-per-acre rates established in the Division's 2016 memo for reclamation surety costs (see attached).	aa	
	Appendix F		aa	